

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/727,328	Applicant(s) KIRCHGEORG ET AL.	
	Examiner Carl H. Layno	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Carl H. Layno.

(2) Joseph Berghammer.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006

Time: 1PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

11

Prior art documents discussed:

Anderson 4,197,842 and others

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

No agreement was reached regarding the re-wording of claim 11 for an Examiner's Amendment..

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)